

**STEWART, GREENBLATT, MANNING & BAEZ**

**ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
6800 JERICHO TURNPIKE**

**SUITE 100W  
SYOSSET, NY 11791**

**516-433-6677  
FAX 516-433-4342**

**KAFI WILFORD (2003-2010)  
MICHAEL H. RUINA (1992-2016)**

**RAYMOND J. SULLIVAN  
MONICA M. O'BRIEN  
MARY ELLEN O'CONNOR  
JAMES MURPHY  
OF COUNSEL**

**DONALD R. STEWART (RET.)  
MADGE E. GREENBLATT (RET.)  
ROBERT W. MANNING  
RICARDO A. BAEZ  
DAVID J. GOLDSMITH  
PETER MICHAEL DeCURTIS  
LAURETTA L. CONNORS  
JOHN K. HAMBERGER  
LISA LEVINE  
ANDREA L. De SALVIO  
KRISTY L. BEHR  
LUKE R. TARANTINO  
THOMAS A. LUMPKIN  
JONATHAN SO**

Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department, New York

TRACY A. DiPAOLA, Claimant,  
v.

McWANE, INC., et al., Appellants,  
and  
WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD, Respondent

May 30, 2019

**Facts:** Claim was established as a compensable death claim. Benefits were awarded to 3 minor children. The youngest child was awarded benefits payable to his mother for his use and benefit. When the minor child graduated from high school and enrolled in college as a full-time student, a WCLJ determined that he was still entitled to benefits. Employer and its third-party administrator then requested that the claim be reopened, alleging that as of the spring of 2017 the child was no longer a full-time student. A WCLJ found that the child was still a full-time student and continued benefits. On appeal, the employer requested retroactive suspension to the beginning of the spring 2017 semester alleging that there was no proof of full-time school status. Benefits were suspended unilaterally. The WCLJ decision was affirmed by the Board and even though there was a reduction in credit hours to 9 for the spring 2017 semester the missing class was made up during the summer of 2017 and therefore the dependent was a full-time student during the spring 2017 semester. Case was restored to the calendar by the Board for consideration of a late payment penalty.

**Holding:** *Affirmed.*

**Discussion:** Citing Section 16 (3)(a), the Court found that the dependent's school schedule was sufficient for the dependent to be considered a full-time student without any

break in that status. There was no evidence presented that the dependent sought to leave school or abandon his pursuit of a college degree on a full-time basis. Substantial evidence supported the Board's decision. The Court also found that the employer's objection to the development of the record on a potential penalty for late payment was premature pending a decision on that issue.

*Stewart, Greenblatt, Manning & Báez*