

**STEWART, GREENBLATT, MANNING & BAEZ**

DONALD R. STEWART (RET.)  
MADGE E. GREENBLATT  
ROBERT W. MANNING  
RICARDO A. BAEZ  
DAVID J. GOLDSMITH  
PETER MICHAEL DeCURTIS  
LAURETTA L. CONNORS  
JOHN K. HAMBERGER  
LISA LEVINE  
ANDREA L. De SALVIO  
KRISTY L. BEHR  
LUKE R. TARANTINO  
THOMAS A. LUMPKIN  
JONATHAN SO

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
6800 JERICHO TURNPIKE  
  
SUITE 100W  
  
SYOSSET, NY 11791  
  
516-433-6677  
  
FAX 516-433-4342

KAFI WILFORD (2003-2010)  
MICHAEL H. RUINA (1992-2016)

RAYMOND J. SULLIVAN  
MONICA M. O'BRIEN  
MARY ELLEN O'CONNOR  
JAMES MURPHY  
OF COUNSEL

Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department, New York

In the Matter CHARLES SWEENEY III, Appellant

v.

AIR STREAM AIR CONDITIONING COMPANY, et al, Respondent

and

WORKERS' COMPENSATION BOARD, Respondent

Decided December 13, 2018

Facts: The claim was closed by a stipulated agreement with a schedule loss of use of the right arm. Subsequently, the claimant filed a RFA-1 requesting a hearing on the issue of a penalty for late payment of the award. At the penalty hearing, counsel for the claimant accepted documents offered by the carrier as proof of timely payment. However, the Claimant then appealed, arguing that the same documents proffered at the hearing showed that the award was paid untimely. The claimant's application for review was rejected by Board because an objection was not made at the hearing. This appeal ensued.

Holding: Affirmed.

Discussion: The question of timely payment of an award is a question of fact that should have been developed at the hearing. Despite documentation to the contrary, the claimant's attorney accepted finding that award was paid timely. The court held that the Board was within its discretion to deny the application for review.